Using a Needs Assessment to Determine Nurses' Knowledge Gaps in **Trauma Care** Jennifer Bath MSN, RN, AGCNS-BC, CEN, TCRN

Health Professions Education Research Scholars (HERS) Program

Assessing Chest tube

Post Removal Care

Background & Purpose

Carilion Roanoke Memorial Hospital (CRMH) is a Level I trauma center in southwest Virginia. As a trauma center, nurses are required to have four hours of trauma specific education per year, yet there is no structured orientation course related specifically to trauma at CRMH.

This study aimed to identify nurses' confidence levels in their trauma knowledge and skills. In addition, it compared nurses' confidence to providers' confidence in the nurses' trauma knowledge and skill to determine potential educational needs.

Sample

- Convenience sample
- List of nurses obtained from unit directors over the neuro-trauma and surgical PCU's and ICU's
- List of providers (physicians, ACPS, residents, and fellows) obtained by principal investigator.
- 124 TNA links emailed out 15 responses round 1
 - \geq 9 responses round 2
- Providers N=9
 - > 1 excluded as TNA was incomplete
- Nurses N=15 > 1 excluded as TNA was incomplete
- Final sample N=22

Methods

- Trauma Needs Assessme developed
- Areas of focus included:
 - ✓ Traumatic brain injury
 - \checkmark Spinal cord injury,
 - ✓ Chest tubes
 - ✓ Neuro exam
 - ✓ Neurogenic bowel and
 - ✓ Incentive spirometry
 - ✓ Documentation
- REDCap® utilized for TN. collection
- Reminder emails sent week three weeks.

Results

- Fisher's Exact Test was up analysis with significance *p*=0.05.
- All areas of the TNA, the had more confidence in the care than the nurses had themselves.

Traumatic Brain Injury

Types

Low vs. High Stimulation

Medications

Agitated Patients

Mobility

Complications

Incentive Spirometry Teaching Patients Spirometry Frequency



0.7823

0.9381

P value

0.6460

0.1399

			Documentation P value
	Spinal Cord Injury	P value	Neuro Exam 0.6506
ent (TNA)	Levels of Injury	0.5078	Bowel Regimen 0.2522
	Dermatomes	0.0161*	Bladder Scans 0.5541
	Medications	0.3792	Chest Tubes 0.7465
	Complete Injury	0.5060	Incentive Spirometry 0.4319
	Incomplete Injury	0.2524	
	Central Cord	0.6272	
	Complications	0.8664	Limitations
			 Small reconce rate
d bladder	Neurogenic Bowel	P value	Small response rate Detential response bios
	Definition	1.000	Potential response blas
	Use of Lidocaine Jelly	0.7623	Single center study
A data	Rectally		
	Bowel Medications	0.7428	Novt Stone
ekly over	When to Hold Bowel	0.7656	
	Regimen		
			Curriculum has been designed
	Neuro Exam	P value	Quasi-experimental one group pre-
sed for data set at	Components of the Exam	0.7471	 test/post-test repeated measure design research study Effects on knowledge, skills, and confidence levels
	Dermatomes	0.4968	
	Glasgow Coma Score	0.0211*	
	Cranial Nerves	0.5852	
	Pupil Exam	0.0191*	
oroviders	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ne nurses'	Choct Tuboc	Dyaluo	Dractico Implicatione
in	Indications for Use		
	Managing the Drainage	1.0000	
Duralius		0.4209	Improve nurses' self confidence
P value	System Treachle Charting	0 5 2 2 4	Staff morale
0.3083	Irouble Shooting	0.5331	Interdisciplinary collaboration
0.6437	Suction vs. Water Seal	0.3160	Engagement
0.4653	Dressing Changes	0.8529	
0.5720	Assessing Chest tube	1.0000	

0.4042

I would like to thank the following for the mentorship and support: Dr. S. Parker, Shari Whicker, Mariah Rudd, Dr. T. Locklear, Dee Myers, Dr. Nussbaum, Dr. B. Collier, and Dan Freeman.



Acknowledgements